

That we are living in Orwellian times is pretty obvious if you've been paying attention. The last time I looked, Tony Blair's government was employing 3,259 spin-doctors to sanitise the bad news and there were more than 4,000,000 CCTV cameras watching our every move. The British are thus the most spied upon people in the world.

As you peruse this history, whenever you come across something that you cannot believe, just consider what a British visionary had to say long before political correctness, Iraq, CCTV cameras and the spin-doctor happened along.

*Big Brother is watching you.*

*If you want a vision of the future, imagine a boot stamping on a human face - forever.*

*In times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act.*

Jack Black maintains the politically incorrect website: [iamanenglishman.com](http://iamanenglishman.com).

At the Home Page of [iamanenglishman.com](http://iamanenglishman.com), this is said:

*If you are one of those who would rather live a comfortable lie than have to face an uncomfortable truth, this website is not for you. If you are one of those who has profited from having the facts and the real history withheld, the unofficial secrets exposed herein may give you serious cause for concern.*

*However, if you have the courage and the desire to confront the truths that THEY have been keeping from you, there is a good deal here that will be of interest.*

Jack Black advises those "who would rather live a comfortable lie", NOT to read this book. However, if you do decide to give it a go and you are inspired to find out what is really happening to us on a day-to-day basis, check out the news section of [iamanenglishman.com](http://iamanenglishman.com).

George Orwell also said this:

*If liberty means anything at all, it means the right to tell people what they do not want to hear.*

Bear this in mind as you read this book.

You've all heard of Jack the Ripper.

Jack killed five prostitutes in the Whitechapel area of London in 1888.

History buffs will also have heard of Dr. Crippen, executed for the murder of his wife in 1910, and George Haigh, the "acid bath murderer" who murdered five people for profit between 1944 and 1949, the year he was finally caught and hanged.

Some of you will also remember John Reginald Holiday Christie. He is known to have killed seven women and a baby between 1949 and 1953. His exploits are best remembered nowadays via Richard Attenborough's wonderfully creepy performance in 10 Rillington Place.

There aren't many in Britain who will not know that the Moors Murderers, Ian Brady and Myra Hindley, killed at least five youngsters in 1965 and 1966.

In 1968, 11-year-old Mary Bell strangled two little boys. Some of you will not have forgotten Mary Bell.

And, elsewhere on the planet, Charles Manson's gang killed nine people in 1969, one of whom was movie starlet Sharon Tate, the wife of Roman Polanski. Most of you will have heard of Charles Manson.

However, almost no one in this country, except for those directly involved, will ever have heard of Kenneth Erskine. And yet Erskine killed his victims more recently than any of the killers listed above, he killed more of them and he killed them in England's capital city.

Kenneth Erskine was tried and convicted in 1988 for the killings of seven old age pensioners.

The police were as sure as they could possibly be that he murdered at least four others around the same time. This because those who died were all pensioners, all of them were killed in inner London, they were all strangled and most of them were bugged before or after death. A senior detective said:

"There is simply no way of knowing how many defenceless old folk he killed; it could be dozens".

The 23-year-old killer was abandoned by his parents as a child and was a product of special schools and a bleeding-heart social security system. After drifting out of that system, he began his career as a burglar in 1979 at the age of 16. He was arrested on numerous occasions and, even though he was found to have a mental age of eleven at his trial, he was smart enough to

have opened ten different bank accounts to launder the proceeds from his thievery.

This supposed retard was also shrewd enough to arrange the bedding after he had killed, with the edge of the sheet tucked neatly under the victim's chin. The better to convey the impression that they had died peacefully in their sleep.

After killing at least three pensioners in the previous months, on 27 June 1986, 73-year-old Clapham resident, Frederick Prentice, was awoken by an intruder.

As Fred switched on the bedside light someone leapt on top of him, found his throat and began to squeeze.

However, Fred had the strength to free himself from the would-be killer's grip and the presence of mind to press the alarm at the side of his bed. At which point the bad guy fled.

Fred Prentice would be a key witness at Erskine's trial and, when he was presented with his assailant in a line-up, immediately picked him out as the man who had tried to kill him.

On 28 June, Erskine murdered 84-year-old Polish war veteran, Valentine Gleime. Incredibly, he returned to the same house later in the day and killed 94-year-old Zbigniew Stabrawa, another gentleman who had survived the horrors of WWII to face an even greater horror at the end of his life in London.

After these killings, Erskine was dubbed *The Stockwell Strangler* by the British media.

In July, Erskine is known to have raped and killed and four more pensioners.

On 23 July 1986, Erskine murdered his last known victim. 83-year-old disabled pensioner, Florence Tisdall, had been strangled and sexually assaulted in her Fulham home.

Erskine's previous rap sheet eventually prompted detectives to investigate his whereabouts when the killings occurred and, having done so, they concluded that he might well be the Strangler.

After he was picked up he attempted to blame the murders on a voice in his head and said:

"I don't remember killing anyone. I could have done it without knowing it. I am not sure if I did it".

At any rate, by the time he came to trial in January 1988, Erskine pleaded not guilty to 7 counts of murder and the attempted murder of Frederick Prentice.

However, his drooling, dim-witted performance in court, which included several attempts to masturbate himself, (he had to be tied to his seat to prevent this) did not endear him to the jury. And, after they found him guilty on all counts, the judge determined that he should serve a minimum of 40 years imprisonment.

This was the longest minimum sentence ever imposed in Britain.

After the trial it was made known that Erskine had twice tried to hang his younger brother when he was a child and, when he was 18, he had stabbed his boyfriend. No charges were brought, however, and he served no jail time for either of these crimes.

So, why have we never heard of Kenneth Erskine?

Well, I can think of one reason.

Erskine was black.

His victims were white.

After the trial Erskine told the police that he did what he did because he wanted to be famous.

Interestingly, 13 years later, Richard Fielding, a black man who would also be convicted of the murders of seven white people, would tell the police the self-same thing.



*Kenneth Erskine*



*Richard Fielding*

**On 7 June 1992, the body of 18-year-old Katie Rackliff was found in Farnborough, Greater London.**

She had been stabbed 32 times. The previous evening she had accepted a lift home from Ragamuffin's nightclub in Camberley.

12-year-old schoolgirl, Sharon Carr, was in the back seat when the older boys she was with picked Katie up. Katie got into the back with her and, when the boys started paying Katie too much attention, Carr pulled out a knife and began attacking her. Her breasts, vagina and anus were stabbed repeatedly.

It took the authorities five years to bring Carr to trial and they only became suspicious after she was imprisoned for committing another violent crime. In 1994 she had stabbed a fellow schoolgirl and was sent down for 2 years.

Whilst in jail she let it be known that she knew something about Katie's death. The police subsequently obtained Carr's diaries and her guilt was demonstrated irrefutably. One reference boasted "killing is my business and business is good".

The judge sentenced her to life for Katie's killing with a recommendation that she serve at least 14 years.

Carr was transferred to Broadmoor on 16 June 1998, after hearing voices.

Whilst there she assaulted the staff and fellow patients and admitted wanting to kill one of them. Despite all this an appeal against her 14-year sentence was heard in November 2003. Thankfully, Carr's legal team did not succeed in getting this reduced and her original sentence was confirmed.

However, in December 2003, her appeal was re-visited. A report compiled by Dr. Susan Iles stated:

"Carr suffers from a severe personality disorder with features of borderline anti-social and paranoid personality disorder... Carr's long-term risk to others is likely to be highly associated with the extent to which her illness can be effectively controlled by a regime of medication which she is prepared to consistently take".

OK so far. This shrink seems to be saying that Carr is a psycho. Killer psychopaths stay locked up, don't they? Well, not necessarily. Especially when the aforementioned gets qualified by the following:

"Ms Carr is maturing, well engaged in individual psychotherapy and prepared to purposefully occupy her off-ward activities".

After reading the above, Lord Woolf, the future Lord Chief Justice, took the opportunity to overturn his decision of three weeks standing and reduced Carr's minimum tariff to twelve years!

Very soon now, when your daughter decides to cadge a lift home from the local nightclub with a couple of lads and a woman in her early twenties, she could find herself in the back seat with Sharon Carr.

Anyone out there ever heard of the youngest ever female to murder an adult in Britain? Of course you haven't. Can you guess why THEY never told you?



*Katie Rackliffe*

Sharon Carr is black. And Katie, the lovely young girl she butchered, was white.

**In 1992, Tony Blair first intoned the famous mantra:**

"Tough on crime, tough on the causes of crime".

He had fleshed out this sentiment somewhat by the time the 1993 Labour Party Conference came around. Here he thumped the tub thus:

"LABOUR IS THE PARTY OF LAW AND ORDER IN BRITAIN TODAY. TOUGH ON CRIME AND TOUGH ON THE CAUSES OF CRIME."

**Two years later, Tony Blair also said this:**

"I would expect ministers in any government I lead to resign if they lied to parliament."

If only, Tony.

If only.

**This history does not concern itself with the mass rape of British women and girls by foreigners that has occurred in recent times.**

However, just to give you a bit of an idea of how prevalent this black-on-white crime has become, here is an example of the dreadful unhappiness just one rotten, foreign apple can create within a previously homogenous and secure community. One of the longest running and ongoing criminal investigations in British criminal history is that concerned with a man that the police have nicknamed *The Night Stalker*. This person first came to the attention of the Met when an 84-year-old Croydon woman reported a burglary and rape in October 1992. Since then, the description and modus vivendi of the man who raped her has been forwarded to the Met on more than a hundred occasions. This does not, of course, mean that the man who committed these offences is responsible for just 100 or so crimes. The generation of women whom he targets is much less likely to report such a crime than the younger woman. It is almost certain, therefore, that *The Night Stalker* has carried out many more attacks than those the police have on their files. What we know of him is this:

He is masked and invariably wears a black, close fitting jump suit. He breaks in whilst his victims are asleep, removes light bulbs, cuts phone lines and shines a torch in their faces and is well informed enough to know that his victims live alone. Officers believe that the man is largely motivated by a sexual interest in the elderly. This is borne out by the fact that, although the youngest of his burglary victims was 68 years-of-age, the youngest of the four women he is known to have raped was 82 years old. The oldest was 89. Altogether it is known that he indecently assaulted or exposed himself to at least twenty-six more women, including one who was 93 years old.

Dr Julian Boon, a criminal profiler and lecturer in psychology at Leicester University, has said:

"The primary motive is sexual... Like we use the word paedophilia for someone who has a sexual interest in children, he has so called gerontophilia which is an interest in the elderly."

One 93-year-old described her ordeal thus:

"I heard a sound in the hallway and the next thing I knew there was a hooded man at the foot of my bed. He put his hands around my neck and said, 'Don't scream and you won't be hurt... That man did awful things to me. I still have terrible mental and psychological scars to show for it.'"

Using a forensic procedure described as "ancestral DNA" the police

established that the "stalker" is of Afro-Caribbean origin. In order to assist their investigations Scotland Yard expressed a desire, using the technique mentioned above, to determine precisely which island in the Caribbean produced the "stalker" or his ancestors. To this end they asked for volunteers of West Indian origin to provide a DNA sample.

More than fifty black policemen came forward happy to comply with this request. However, the Black Police Association was not. The BPA banned all black cops from participating. However, after a lot of flak from just about everywhere, they changed their minds. On 23 May 2006, *The Daily Mail* reported thus:

"Police fear Catherine Grosstephan may be the first murder victim of the Night Stalker, who has targeted 100 women in London and the Home Counties over the past 14 years... The case bears many similarities to attacks by the Night Stalker, who has carried out dozens of rapes and indecent assaults on pensioners."

Within two days of this information being released the cops informed us that they were no longer looking for him. The man they now wished to interview was a white rough-sleeper named Scott Thompson. In the original police report naming Scott Thompson the police told us that he was also known as Delafuente. Delafuente is a Spanish name.

So, was it a Spanish rough-sleeper, an English rough-sleeper or the West Indian serial burglar-rapist, an e-fit of whom is shown below, who raped and murdered 89-year-old Catherine? You decide.

Why is it, do you think, that almost all of you outside London will never have heard of this fifteen-year reign of terror perpetrated upon some of the most vulnerable members of our society?

Could it be, perhaps, that the police, the politicians and the media have been less concerned with the protection of the elderly, white female population of London and the Home Counties than they are with the feelings of the community from which the *Night Stalker* hails? Could it be that those who imposed the ghastly experiment in mass mind, culture and identity-control upon the British are more concerned to see that evidence of the abject failure of their experimentation remains hidden, than they are to protect the average British pensioner?

If the truth of such immigrant nastiness as that exemplified by the man seen in the e-fit opposite, ever became known, those who imposed mass immigration upon us would be exposed for what they are, a gangster cabal who never gave a damn for the feelings and concerns of a national majority they wished to subdue.



**In January 2004, *The Evening Standard* said this:**

"A hard core of violent muggers is behind a surge in gang rapes in London... There has been one group sex attack for every day of the last year... A disproportionately high number of black and Asian men were involved in the attacks. Around 49 per cent of suspects were described as Afro-Caribbean and 13 per cent as Indian or Pakistani. White women accounted for 59 per cent of the victims."

Extrapolating from the statistics cited in the *Standard* article and the year 2000 *British Crime Survey* we may infer that, if between 660,000 and 849,000 women had been "forced to have sexual intercourse" against their will in Britain up to that point, between 390,000 and 510,000 would have been white and between 240,000 and 370,000 of those "forcing" them would have been black or Asian.

Here's the thing, if these figures are anywhere near accurate, do you think that it is within the bounds of acceptability? Do you think it's reasonable for those whose ancestors are buried elsewhere on the planet to express themselves in such a criminally ungrateful way once they are allowed into Britain? Do you really think it's OK for British women to be suffering thus at the hands of the alien man? And do you really think it's right, when people like myself try to warn the women and young girls of this country of the reality of the situation, that the PC crowd always criticise us for doing so?

**The powers-that-be make it so difficult to track immigrant violence upon the native population, I estimate that I have unearthed less than half the British people murdered by first or second-generation immigrants since Stephen Lawrence died and the present day.**

As for those who have been "manslaughtered", I would hazard a guess that anywhere between 20 and 100 times as many white folk as those enumerated here will have lost their lives as a result of the aberrant behaviours of the first and second-generation immigrant. Nevertheless, there is enough here to "incite" some justifiable "hatred" in all but the most brainwashed or bought-and-paid-for.

I trust that that hatred will be felt most keenly for the political elite who made it all happen. That's whom I hate, anyway. And they earned that hatred.

By God they did.